

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,081	01/07/2002	Jonathan D. Levine	D/A1202;690-010417-US 2426 (PA	
7590 12/05/2006			EXAMINER	
Clarence A. Green			PAULA, CESAR B	
Perman & Green	n, LLP, 425 Post Road			
Fairfield, CT 06430			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 43	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/041,081	LEVINE, JONATHAN D.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice on the same day as filing a Notice on the replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the reply note with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply note with 37 CFR 1.114.	of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of iffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amoun a shortened statutory period for reply or er than three months after the mailing d	It of the fee. The appropriate extension fee iginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c	onsideration and/or search (see NO	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		Paradiant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be		e, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 📋 wovided below or appended.	viii be entered and an expianation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-38.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessated. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
TO:	on or the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:		

CESAR B PAULA Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2178 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant indicates that Warmus does not teach the amended features of the claims (pages 10-14). The amendment requires a new search and/or consideration to determine whether it overcomes Warmus.

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER